



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
JNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. 80X 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

Paper No. 15

13 204

Milliken & Company 920 Milliken Road P. O. Box 1926 Spartanburg, SC 29304

COPY MAILED
MAY 1 1 2004

In re Application of Shulong Li, et al. Application No. 09/501,467 Filed: February 9, 2000 Attorney Docket No. 2129 OFFICE OF PETITIONS

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed November 24, 2003, to revive the above-identified application.

 \rightarrow

The petition is GRANTED.

A final Office action was mailed to applicant on April 22, 2003, setting a three-month shortened statutory period within which to submit a reply. In the instant petition, applicant argues that an amendment was, in fact, timely filed on June 3, 2003. Applicant provided a copy of the post card receipt establishing receipt of the amendment dated June 3, 2003. While the amendment was submitted to the Office timely, it was reviewed by the examiner and determined that it did not place the application in condition for allowance. A copy of the Advisory Action by the examiner is enclosed herewith.

In view of the above, the application was properly held abandoned and petitioner is not entitled to a refund of the petition fee.

->

Nevertheless, petitioner has filed a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and submission required by 37 CFR 1.114, with the petition.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (703) 306-3475.

The application file is being forwarded to Technology Center 1700 for processing the RCE.

Marianne E. Morgan

Petitions Examiner

Office of Petitions

Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy

Enclosure:

Advisory Action

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/501,467	LI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ms. Arti Singh	1771
The MAILING DATE of this communication		
THE REPLY FILED 11/24/03 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR	either: (1) a timely filed amendment of this of Anneal (with anneal feet) or (2)	application. A proper reply to a
PERIOD	FOR REPLY [check either a) or t))]
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing of no event, however, will the statutory period for reconcept on the content of the content of the period for reconcept of the period for reconcept of the period for the content of the period for the content of the period for the peri	ate of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date oply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the EPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH 36(a). The date on which the petition under the period of extension and the correspond on date of the shortened statutory period for the Office later than three months.	e mailing date of the final rejection. S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP or 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ing amount of the fee. The appropriate extension
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof	opellant's Brief must be filed within	the period set forth in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be en	ntered because:	issal of the appeal.
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would requ		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (se	e Note below):	arch (see NOTE below);
(c) they are not deemed to place the appliance issues for appeal; and/or		materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without NOTE:	t canceling a corresponding numb	er of finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	na rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	would be allowable if submitted in	n a separate, timely filed amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) req	uest for reconsideration has been use: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be conside raised by the Examiner in the final rejection	red because it is not directed SOL n.	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed ame explanation of how the new or amended cl	ndment(s) a) will not be entered aims would be rejected is provided	or b) will be entered and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as f	ollows:	1,1,2,2,3,3
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-39.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)[hy the Evaminer
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure St	atement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No	(e)
10. Other:	- A(-)(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4/21/04
S Patent and Trademark Office		Ms. Arti Singh Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1771

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: firstly, they rely on unentered amendments, and secondly, the amended limitation that Applicant now desires, which now puts a lower limit on the amount of coating sheds a different light on the article and thus raise issues that require further search and consideration. Additionally, Applicant is made aware that optimizing the coating weight would be well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art, and that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable invovles on ly routine skill in the art, as the range used by cited patent in the rejection, and to what Applicant is now